

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. 09/837,897	FILING DATE 04/18/2001	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Stephen Allott	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 20408002000	CONFIRMATION NO. 8333
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER	EXAMINER BETTENDORF, JUSTIN P			
EIGHTH FLOS SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94111-3834	-3834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			——————————————————————————————————————
	· · · No	Applicant(s)	
	Application No.	ALLOTT, STEPHEN	
	09/837,897	Art Unit	
4 stion Summary	Examiner	- 17	
Office Action Summary	Justin P. Bettendorf	ot with the correspondence add	ress
atti- communication ap	pears on the cover she	et Min	
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL A SHORTENED	TO EYPIRE	3 MONTH(S) FROM	
eriod for Reply	LY 10 OF LAG	he ha timely filed	
THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the providence of the communication. Extensions of time may be available under the providence of the communication. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply needed for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period if NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the communication. Extensions of time may be available under the providence of this communication. If NO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply will, by state of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be period for reply set of the period for reply set o	eply within the statutory minimuled will apply and will expire SIX of will expire SIX or will expire SIX or will expire SIX or will experie the application to be the date of this communication	m of thirty (65) from the mailing date of this	nmmunication.
cratus (2 slad 00	·	ol.	
1) Responsive to communication (-)	This action is non-fine	mal matters, prosecution as to	the ments is
2a) This action is FINAL.	lowance except for for	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice un			
1 sition of Claims	otion		
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with the application of the above claim(s) is/are with the application of the above claim(s).	hdrawn from consider	un • · ·	
40) Of the above Claim(5)			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
Claim(s) 1-17 Is/are rejected.		.1	
6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requir	ement.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	•		
Application Papers	xaminer.	the by the Examiner	
on The specification is objected in according	are: a) accepted or b	objected to by the See 37 CFR 1.	35(a).
10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 April 2001 is Applicant may not request that any object 11) The proposed drawing correction filed of the corrected drawings are required.	ion to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. Good	xaminer.
Annlicant may not 24	. IS: a) ∟ app'	,	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed of the life approved, corrected drawings are required to be	ired in reply to this Office	e action.	
If approved, corrected drawings are requ	ov the Examiner.		
	-		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	comian priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).	
Priority under 35	for toreign priority and		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	. Laun haan	received.	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority	documents nave been	received in Application No	
- Liend copies of the Pro-		nte have been reserved	National Staye
2. Centified copies	of the priority docume	Rule 17.2(a)).	
applied detailed Office action	011 101 4 1101	ador 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (10 a P	rovisional application).
* See the attached detailed 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) The translation of the foreign la	for domestic priority a	onlication has been received.	121
14) Acknowledgment in 14	anguage provisional a	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or	121.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign la 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority		3) Paper No(s)
		4) Interview Summary (PTO-475) Notice of Informal Patent Ap	oplication (PTO-152)
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) References Cited (PTO-892)	u (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Information	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	Paper No(s) 2	0,11	Part of Paper No. 3
3) ZS IIII	Office Action Sum	iliai ž	

Application/Control Number: 09/837,897

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. Figures 3A-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated (see brief description on page 3 with respect to figure 4 "known"). See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because the gates of the FETs are not shown in 60. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 4, line 21 recites "Where Vt0 Vt(VSB=0)", which appears to be missing a verb. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (i.e. figures 1-4) and description thereof (hereinafter referred to as "AAPA") Brahmbhatt United States Patent No. 4,442,481.

The AAPA figure 4 shows an integrated transconductance cell comprising a plurality of current sources 62-65 with a variable load 60 with first and second load FETs shown and a pair

Application/Control Number: 09/837,897

Art Unit: 2817

of serially connected MOSFETs acting as a variable resistor. However, the AAPA does not show the MOSFETs as native MOSFETs.

Brahmbhatt teaches that native MOSFETs are art-recognized equivalent to enhancement mode MOSFETs but have a very low threshold voltage that additionally does not require additional doping; therefore, unwanted manufacturing variations are reduced (see col. 9, lines 1-20).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have substituted the art-recognized equivalent native MOSFETs as taught by Brahmbhatt in place of the generic MOSFETs in the transconductance cell of AAPA figure 4 because such a modification would have been considered a mere substitution of art-recognized equivalent MOSFETs that would have advantageously not required additional doping in an integrated structure.

With respect to claims 4-10, it should be noted that the use of the transconductance cell is given no patentable weight in the apparatus claim; therefore, limitations drawn to the intended use of the device (i.e. further defining the system or suggestion of use in a VCO) have been given no patentable weight (see MPEP 2114).

With respect to claim 13, AAPA figure 1 suggests the method of utilizing transconductance cell-based gyrators in a filter in a radio system.

With respect to claims 14-17, AAPA figure 3A suggests using the transconductance cell in a gyrator.

Schaumann "Simulating Lossless Ladders with Transconductance-C Circuits" a. monograph from IEEE Transactions on Circuits was stated as prior art in the present application.

Boudewijns United States Patent No. 4,972,098 discloses a variable resistor b. having MOS transistors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin P. Bettendorf whose telephone number is (703) 308-2780. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30 (M-F, 1st Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

> Justin P. Bettendorf **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2817

jpb

August 7, 2002